

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Are

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,933	10/30/2003	Rene Bitsch	M61.12-0532	1035
27366 7590 08/06/2007 WESTMAN CHAMPLIN (MICROSOFT CORPORATION) SUITE 1400			EXAMINER	
			LY, ANH	
900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-3319		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2162	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/696,933	BITSCH, RENE	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Anh Ly	2162	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DEPLOYED BY A STATE OF THE MAILING DEPLOYED BY A STA	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)□	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	ion of Claims		•	
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicat</b> i 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-53 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-16 and 18-41 is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 17 and 42-53 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or is/ares.  The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompany and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin The Oath Oath Oath Oath Oath Oath Oath Oath	re withdrawn from consideration.  or election requirement.  er. cepted or b) objected to by the Editation of the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	et(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) sr No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informat Pa	ite. <u>06/28/2007</u> .	

Application/Control Number: 10/696,933 Page 2

Art Unit: 2162

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is response to Applicant's AMENDMENT filed on 04/30/2007.

- 2. Claims 1-16 were withdrawn.
- 3. Claims 18-41 was cancelled.
- 4. Claims 17 and 42-53 are pending in this Application.

## Response to Amendment

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The reason that the claim 17 is not good in the condition for allowable because "the data being indicative of a proposed text for a new label" is not clearly claim as shown in fig. 6, item 603 and 604. (spec. page 31). Upon an updated search, examiner finds a relevant reference to reject amended claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 17 and 42-45 and 47-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pub. No.: US 2002/0156770 A1 of Krichilsky et al. in view of US Patent No.: 6,006,225 issued to Bowman et al. (hereinafter Bowman).

Art Unit: 2162

With respect to claim 17, Krichilsky teaches a computer-implemented method of maintaining a term database (using ordering processing system for searching the text or term or product storing in the database: figs. 1 and 2, item 108, 110 and 218 and 220 databases), comprising the steps of:

receiving data at an interface, the data being indicative of a proposed text (figs 4 and 5, receiving the term or data at user interface dialog box and the entered data indicating how the data to be used or search with dialog box including pull down menu having input box as proposed text: sections 0038-0039 and 0044-0045; items 408, 410 and 412); for a new label;

searching a term database for a term associated with a text that corresponds to the proposed text, wherein the term database is stored on a computer-readable storage medium (Figs 2 and 6's, the search result or search hit including or associating with the proposed text from the database storing in the storage medium: sections 0048 and 0054);

providing output that is indicative of the term, the output including the text and at least one related characteristic (see figs. 6A and 6B and sections 0054-0055);

receiving an input indicating a preference for the proposed text over the term (figs.4 and 5 where the user input for the search query or request and indicating how to search or be used with the search) and

wherein searching the term database further comprises searching for a term associated with a text having a related use characteristic that is comparable to a use characteristic associated with the proposed text (fig. 4 and 6A, 6B:

Art Unit: 2162

inputting data via pull down menu and search hits and related characteristic to the entered text or term).

Krichilsky teaches receiving the data to be search at user interface and the data indicating the proposed text such as how to search or to be used by input the data via a pull down menu in input box item 408, 410 and 412.

Krichilsky does not clearly teach new label and suggesting that a new term be added to the term database, the new term being indicative of the proposed text.

However, Bowman teaches generating new query and adding or overwriting new term to the table or database (figs. 1 and 4, item 137 and 450, col. 7, lines 60-67, col. 8, lines 15-20; col. 9, lines 12-32).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Krichilsky with the teachings of Bowman. One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it motivated to utilize the use of generating the new query or term and adding it to the database as disclosed (Bowman's fig. 1 and 4), into the system of Krichilsky for the purpose of refining the search queries, thereby, enabling user to have a way quickly and efficiently locating the most relevant items (Bowman's col. 1, lines 12-15 and col. 2, lines 15-25).

With respect to claim 42, Krichilsky teaches receiving a selection indicative of the proposed text (fig. 4, item 408, 410 and 412: input boxes for receiving the description for how the data to be used).

With respect to claim 43, Krichilsky teaches wherein receiving data comprises receiving a category code associated with the proposed text (fig. 4,

Art Unit: 2162

item 408, 410 and 412: input boxes for receiving the description for how the data to be used).

With respect to claim 44, Krichilsky teaches wherein receiving data comprises receiving an indication of how the proposed text is used (receiving data at input box, 408, 410 or 412 in fig. 4).

With respect to claim 45, Krichilsky teaches a method as discussed in claim 17.

Krichilsky teaches receiving the data to be search at user interface and the data indicating the proposed text such as how to search or to be used by input the data via a pull down menu in input box item 408, 410 and 412.

Krichilsky does not clearly teach adding the new term to the term database, the new term being indicative of the proposed text.

However, Bowman teaches generating new query and adding or overwriting new term to the table or database (figs. 1 and 4, item 137 and 450, col. 7, lines 60-67, col. 8, lines 15-20; col. 9, lines 12-32).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Krichilsky with the teachings of Bowman. One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it motivated to utilize the use of generating the new query or term and adding it to the database as disclosed (Bowman's fig. 1 and 4), into the system of Krichilsky for the purpose of refining the search queries, thereby, enabling user to have a way quickly and efficiently locating the most relevant items (Bowman's col. 1, lines 12-15 and col. 2, lines 15-25).

Art Unit: 2162

With respect to claim 47, Krichilsky teaches wherein receiving data comprises receiving data that is input into a dialog user interface (fig. 4 is user graphical interface with input box having pull down menu: dialog input box).

With respect to claim 48, Krichilsky teaches wherein searching the term database comprise, searching for term records in the term database that are associated with a text that is similar to at least a portion of the proposed text (search hits in the figs. 6A and 6B).

With respect to claim 49, Krichilsky teaches wherein providing output comprises providing an output that is indicative of multiple term records in the term database, each term record being associated with text that is similar to at least a portion of the proposed text (the search hits from the search is output as shown in the figs. 6A and 6B).

With respect to claim 50, Krichilsky teaches wherein providing output comprises displaying a list of multiple term records in the term database, each term record being associated with a text that is similar to at least a portion of the proposed text (figs. 6A and 6B).

With respect to claim 51, Krichilsky teaches displaying textual context information related to at least one of the displayed multiple term records (figs. 6A and 6B).

With respect to claims 52-53, Krichilsky teaches a method as discussed n claim 17.

Krichilsky teaches receiving the data to be search at user interface and the data indicating the proposed text such as how to search or to be used by

Art Unit: 2162

input the data via a pull down menu in input box item 408, 410 and 412.

Krichilsky does not clearly teach creating a new object in the term database for the new term and wherein creating a-the new object comprises a step of assigning an identifier to the new term, wherein the identifier enables the new term to be distinguished from at least some other terms in the term database.

However, Bowman teaches generating new query and adding or overwriting new term to the table or database and key term for distinguish the term in the database (figs. 1 and 4, item 137 and 450, col. 7, lines 60-67, col. 8, lines 15-20; col. 9, lines 12-32; also see col. 10, lines 8-40).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Krichilsky with the teachings of Bowman. One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it motivated to utilize the use of generating the new query or term and adding it to the database as disclosed (Bowman's fig. 1 and 4), into the system of Krichilsky for the purpose of refining the search queries, thereby, enabling user to have a way quickly and efficiently locating the most relevant items (Bowman's col. 1, lines 12-15 and col. 2, lines 15-25).

Page 8

Art Unit: 2162

8. Claim 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pub. No.: US 2002/0156770 A1 of Krichilsky et al. in view of US Patent No.: 6,006,225 issued to Bowman et al. (hereinafter Bowman) and further in view of Pub. No.: US 2003/0101046 A1 of Krasnov.

With respect to claim 46, Krichilsky in view of Bowman discloses a system as discussed in claim 1.

Krichilsky and Bowman disclose substantially the invention as claimed.

Krichilsky and Bowman do not teach obtaining and storing translations of the proposed text.

However, Krasnov teaches translation the term or word and storing the term or word (abstract and sections 0043-0044).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Krichilsky in view of Bowman with the teachings of Krasnov by incorporating the use of translating and storing of term or word as disclosed (Krasnov's sections 0043-0044), into the system of Krichilsky for the purpose of improving over machine translation based on the amount of these phrases found in the database (Krasnov's sections 0002 and 0004).

Art Unit: 2162

#### Contact Information

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anh Ly whose telephone number is (571) 272-4039 or via E-Mail: ANH.LY@USPTO.GOV (Written Authorization being given by Applicant (MPEP 502.03 [R-2])) or fax to (571) 273-4039 (Examiner's personal Fax No.). The examiner can normally be reached on TUESDAY – THURSDAY from 8:30 AM – 3:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **John Breene**, can be reached on (571) 272-4107.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Any response to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231, or faxed to: Central Fax Center: (571) 273-8300

SHAHID ALAM